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DC Plan Participants’ Withdrawal and Contribution Activities

Defined contribution (DC) plan participants’ withdrawal activity in the first three quarters of 2022 remained low, in line with the activity observed in recent years. In the first three quarters of 2022, 3.3 percent of DC plan participants took withdrawals, compared with 3.7 percent in the first three quarters of 2021, 3.4 percent in the first three quarters of 2020 (as the COVID-19 pandemic hit the United States), 3.3 percent in the first three quarters of 2019, and 2.6 percent in the first three quarters of 2009 (another time of financial market stress) (Figure 1).1-2 Levels of hardship withdrawal activity also remained low. Only 2.0 percent of DC plan participants took hardship withdrawals in the first three quarters of 2022, compared with 1.6 percent of DC plan participants during first three quarters of 2021, 1.2 percent in the first three quarters of 2020, 1.6 percent in the first three quarters of 2019, and 1.3 percent in the first three quarters of 2009. Withdrawal activity likely reflects the impact of ongoing financial stresses relating to the COVID-19 pandemic, although the penalty relief and increased flexibility in plan withdrawals under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act (enacted March 27, 2020) are no longer available in 2021 or 2022.3

DC plan participants’ commitment to contribution activity in the first three quarters of 2022 continued at the high rate observed in the first nine months of other years. Only 2.1 percent of DC plan participants stopped contributing in the first three quarters of 2022, compared with 1.2 percent in the first three quarters of 2021, 2.2 percent in the first three quarters of 2020, 1.9 percent in the first three quarters of 2019, and 5.0 percent in the first three quarters of 2009 (Figure 1).4

DC Plan Participants’ Asset Allocation Changes

Most DC plan participants stayed the course with their asset allocations as stock values generally fell during the first nine months of the year. In the first three quarters of 2022, 7.4 percent of DC plan participants changed the asset allocation of their account balances, slightly lower than 8.3 percent in the first three quarters of 2021, 9.5 percent in the first three quarters of 2020, and 9.9 percent in the first three quarters of 2009 (Figure 1). Even fewer DC plan participants changed the asset allocation of their contributions. In the first three quarters of 2022, 3.8 percent changed the asset allocation of their contributions, a bit lower than in recent years, and much lower than 9.8 percent in the first three quarters of 2009.
FIGURE 1
Defined Contribution Plan Participants’ Activities
Summary of recordkeeper data, percentage of participants during the first three quarters of the year indicated

Took any withdrawal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Q3 2009</th>
<th>Q3 2019</th>
<th>Q3 2020</th>
<th>Q3 2021</th>
<th>Q3 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Took hardship withdrawal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Q3 2009</th>
<th>Q3 2019</th>
<th>Q3 2020</th>
<th>Q3 2021</th>
<th>Q3 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stopped contributing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Q3 2009</th>
<th>Q3 2019</th>
<th>Q3 2020</th>
<th>Q3 2021</th>
<th>Q3 2022</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These withdrawals do not include coronavirus-related distributions (CRDs) identified by the recordkeepers. During the first three quarters of 2020, recordkeepers identified 4.4 percent of DC plan participants taking CRDs.

Note: The samples include about 24 million DC plan participants for data covering January–September 2009; more than 30 million DC plan participants for data covering January–September 2019, January–September 2020, and January–September 2021; and more than 40 million DC plan participants for data covering January–September 2022.

Source: ICI Survey of DC Plan Recordkeepers (first three quarters, 2009–2022)

DC Plan Participants’ Use of Plan Loans

The DC plan loan feature provides a safety valve that fewer than one in five DC plan participants end up using. DC plan participants’ loan activity edged up slightly in the third quarter of 2022, although continues to be close to its historically low level. At the end of September 2022, 13.0 percent of DC plan participants had loans outstanding, compared with 12.5 percent at the end of June 2022, March 2022, and December 2021 (Figure 2). It is possible that the availability of coronavirus-related distributions (CRDs) in 2020 (under the CARES Act) has resulted in reduced loan activity. Additionally, a DC plan participant is no longer required by law to first take a plan loan (in plans with a loan option) prior to taking a hardship withdrawal, though some plans may retain this requirement. The recent peak in 401(k) plan loan activity was at year-end 2018 when 16.7 percent of DC plan participants had loans outstanding.
FIGURE 2
401(k) Loan Activity
Percentage of 401(k) plan participants who had loans outstanding, end-of-period

Note: The EBRI/ICI data cover 401(k) plans; the ICI Survey of DC Plan Recordkeepers covers DC plans more generally (although 401(k) plans make up the bulk of DC plans).


About ICI Survey of DC Plan Recordkeepers
To measure participant-directed changes in DC plans, ICI has been tracking participant activity through recordkeeper surveys since 2008. This report updates results from ICI’s survey of a cross section of recordkeeping firms representing a broad range of DC plans and covering more than 40 million employer-based DC retirement plan participant accounts as of September 2022. The broad scope of the recordkeeper survey provides valuable insights about recent withdrawal, contribution, asset allocation, and loan decisions of participants in these plans. The most recent survey covered DC plan participants’ activities in the first nine months of 2022. In this period, stock prices generally declined. On net, the S&P 500 total return index fell 4.6 percent in 2022:Q1, 16.1 percent in 2022:Q2, and 4.9 percent in 2022:Q3; and, between December 2021 and September 2022, the S&P 500 total return index was down 23.9 percent.8
Notes

1 There are two possible types of withdrawals from DC plans: nonhardship and hardship. Generally, withdrawals made by participants after age 59½ are categorized as nonhardship withdrawals. A participant seeking a hardship withdrawal must demonstrate financial hardship and generally faces a 10 percent penalty on the taxable portion of the withdrawal. Prior to plan year 2019, if a plan allowed loans, participants generally were required to take a loan before they were permitted to take a hardship withdrawal. The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 expanded hardship withdrawal availability in several ways, including expanding the sources for hardship withdrawals to include earnings and certain employer contributions, dropping the requirement that a participant first take advantage of a plan loan, and eliminating the six-month suspension of contributions after taking a hardship withdrawal. See Dold 2018, Joint Committee on Taxation 2019 (pp. 104–105), and Internal Revenue Service 2022.

The 2020 CARES Act contained optional provisions to allow plan sponsors to provide expanded access to DC plan account assets for individuals affected by COVID-19. For additional detail, see Internal Revenue Service 2021.

2 This report presents withdrawal, contribution, and asset allocation activity during the first three quarters of 2022 and compares the results to earlier periods covering the first three quarters of the year. Caution should be exercised when comparing the results from the surveys for different periods. Data should only be compared for similar periods—evaluating periods that are similar in terms of length and timing during the year focuses the analysis on the relevant variables. For example, if there are any effects that are typical for the beginning of the year (e.g., people getting bonuses to invest, profit-sharing contributions occurring in the first quarter, people reacting to upcoming taxes, people reacting to past holiday spending), then it is essential to compare periods that also may experience these “seasonal” effects. In addition to seasonal effects, DC plan participant activity may be influenced by cyclical factors (e.g., recent stock market returns). Because some participants may visit their asset allocations at the beginning of the year and not again, it is not possible to translate the year-to-date figures into an estimate of activity for the entire year. For the complete time series of these data, see Table 1 in the supplemental tables. For annual activity through 2021, see Holden, Schrass, and Chism 2022b.

3 The CARES Act contained provisions to provide penalty relief for taxpayers affected by COVID-19 taking early withdrawals from retirement accounts, as well as optional DC retirement plan provisions to expand availability of in-service distributions for those affected by COVID-19, allow repayment of these coronavirus-related distributions (CRDs), increase the amount available for a plan loan, and add flexibility in repayment of plan loans. [A CRD is any distribution from an eligible retirement plan (up to an aggregate limit of $100,000) made on or after January 1, 2020, and before December 31, 2020, to a qualified individual affected by COVID-19.] In the first three quarters of 2020, recordkeepers identified 4.4 percent of DC plan participants taking CRDs. For additional discussion, see Holden, Schrass, and Chism 2022a and 2022b.

4 The recordkeepers typically remove participants who are no longer working for the employer sponsoring the plan. It would not be correct to include such separated, retired, or terminated participants, because they cannot contribute. The goal of the survey is to measure the contribution activity of active DC plan participants.

5 Figure 2 compares DC plan participants’ loan activity from the ICI Survey of DC Plan Recordkeepers to 401(k) plan participants’ loan activity from the EBRI/ICI 401(k) Plan Database. For full details on the EBRI/ICI 401(k) Plan Database, visit www.ici.org/research/retirement/ebri-ici-401k.

6 See rule changes from the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018 discussed in note 1.

7 See Table 2 in the supplemental tables.

8 See Yahoo Finance, S&P 500 Total Return Index.
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