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Defined Contribution Plan Participants’ Activities, First Half 2017
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Key Findings

DC plan withdrawal activity in the first half of 2017 remained low and was similar to the activity observed in the first half of 2016. In 2017:H1, 2.2 percent of DC plan participants took withdrawals, compared with 2.1 percent in 2016:H1. Levels of hardship withdrawal activity also remained low. Only 0.9 percent of DC plan participants took hardship withdrawals during 2017:H1, the same share as in 2016:H1.

The vast majority of DC plan participants continued contributing to their plans in 2017:H1. In 2017:H1, 1.6 percent of DC plan participants stopped contributing, compared with 1.9 percent in 2016:H1.

Most DC plan participants stayed the course with their asset allocations as stock values generally rose during the first six months of the year. In the first half of 2017, 6.8 percent of DC plan participants changed the asset allocation of their account balances and 4.3 percent changed the asset allocation of their contributions. Account balance reallocation activity was little changed and contribution reallocation activity was slightly lower compared with the reallocation activity observed in the same time frame a year earlier.

DC plan participants’ loan activity was little changed at the end of June 2017. The first quarter of the year tends to have lower percentages of DC plan participants with loans outstanding compared with later quarters. At the end of June 2017, 16.7 percent of DC plan participants had loans outstanding, compared with 16.6 percent at the end of March 2017 and 17.0 percent at year-end 2016. Loan activity continues to remain elevated compared with eight years ago (at year-end 2008, 15.3 percent of DC plan participants had loans outstanding).
Introduction

Defined contribution (DC) plan assets are a significant component of Americans’ retirement assets, representing 28 percent of the total retirement market (Figure 1) and almost one-tenth of US households’ aggregate financial assets at the end of the second quarter of 2017.1 To measure participant-directed changes in DC plans, ICI has been tracking participant activity through recordkeeper surveys since 2008. This report updates results from ICI’s survey of a cross section of recordkeeping firms representing a broad range of DC plans and covering more than 30 million employer-based DC retirement plan participant accounts as of June 2017. The broad scope of the recordkeeper survey provides valuable insights about recent withdrawal, contribution, asset allocation, and loan decisions of participants in these plans. The most recent survey covered DC plan participants’ activities in the first six months of 2017.2 In this period, stock prices generally rose (Figure 2); on net, the S&P 500 total return index was up 9.3 percent in the first half of 2017.

FIGURE 1
28 Percent of US Retirement Assets Were Defined Contribution Plan Assets
Trillions of dollars; end-of-period, selected periods

1 Annuities include all fixed and variable annuities held outside of retirement plans and IRAs.
2 Federal pension plans include US Treasury security holdings of the civil service retirement and disability fund, the military retirement fund, the judicial retirement funds, the Railroad Retirement Board, and the foreign service retirement and disability fund. These plans also include securities held in the National Railroad Retirement Investment Trust.
3 Other DC plans include 403(b) plans, 457 plans, the Federal Employees Retirement System (FERS) Thrift Savings Plan (TSP), and private employer-sponsored DC plans without 401(k) features.
4 Data are estimated.

Note: Components may not add to the total because of rounding.
Sources: Investment Company Institute, Federal Reserve Board, Department of Labor, National Association of Government Defined Contribution Administrators, American Council of Life Insurers, and Internal Revenue Service Statistics of Income Division; see Investment Company Institute 2017
DC Plan Participants’ Activities in 2017:H1

The withdrawal and contribution data indicate that essentially all DC plan participants continued to save in their retirement plans at work. DC plan participants’ withdrawal activity during the first half of 2017 was in line with activity observed during the first half of the prior year, and a negligible share of participants stopped contributing during 2017:H1. In 2017:H1, 2.2 percent of DC plan participants took withdrawals from their DC plan accounts, with 0.9 percent taking hardship withdrawals (Figure 3, top panel). These levels of activity are similar to those observed in the first half of 2016. In 2017:H1, 1.6 percent of DC plan participants stopped making contributions, compared with 1.9 percent in 2016:H1. It is possible that some of these participants stopped contributing simply because they reached the annual contribution limit.

The survey of recordkeeping firms also gathered information about asset allocation changes in DC account balances or contributions. During the first six months of 2017, 6.8 percent of DC plan participants had changed the asset allocation of their account balances, compared with 6.5 percent in 2016:H1 (Figure 3, lower panel). Reallocation activity regarding contributions was slightly lower than the rate observed in 2016:H1; 4.3 percent of DC plan participants had changed the asset allocation of their contributions in 2017:H1, compared with 5.3 percent in 2016:H1.
Two factors appear to influence DC plan participants’ loan activity: reaction to financial stresses and a seasonal pattern. Likely responding to financial stresses, the percentage of DC plan participants with loans outstanding rose from the end of 2008 (15.3 percent) through 2011 (18.5 percent) (Figure 4). This pattern of activity is similar to that observed in the wake of the bear market and recession earlier in the decade. The share of DC plan participants with loans outstanding then leveled out in 2012 through 2015, perhaps reflecting loans supporting consumer spending or home purchases. The sample of recordkeepers reported that as of June 2017, 16.7 percent of DC plan participants had loans outstanding, compared with 16.6 percent at the end of March 2017, and 17.0 percent at year-end 2016. Loan activity appears to have a quarterly seasonal pattern: the first quarter of the year tends to have lower percentages of DC plan participants with loans outstanding compared with later quarters (Figure 5). Nevertheless, loan activity was little changed in 2017:Q2.
FIGURE 4

**401(k) Loan Activity**

Percentage of 401(k) plan participants who had loans outstanding; end-of-period, selected periods

- EBRI/ICI 401(k) Plan Database
- ICI Survey of DC Plan Recordkeepers

Note: The EBRI/ICI data cover 401(k) plans; the ICI Survey of DC Plan Recordkeepers covers DC plans more generally (although 401(k) plans make up the bulk of DC plans).


FIGURE 5

**401(k) Loan Activity Tends to Edge Down in the First Quarter, Then Edge Up**

Percentage of DC plan participants who had loans outstanding; end-of-period, 2011:Q4–2017:Q2

Note: This figure reports loan activity on a quarterly basis (the most recent quarters also are shown in Figure 4). The range on the vertical axis is limited to 15 percent to 19 percent to highlight the seasonal variation in 401(k) loan activity.

Source: ICI Survey of DC Plan Recordkeepers (December 2011-June 2017)
Notes

1 Total financial assets of US households were $78.3 trillion at the end of 2017:Q2. See US Federal Reserve Board 2017.

2 This report presents withdrawal, contribution, and asset allocation activity during the first half of 2017 and compares the 2017:H1 rates of activity to 2016:H1, 2015:H1, 2014:H1, 2013:H1, 2012:H1, 2011:H1, 2010:H1, and 2009:H1. Caution should be exercised when comparing the results from the surveys for different periods. Data should only be compared for similar periods—evaluating periods that are similar in terms of length and timing during the year enables a focus on the relevant variables. For example, if there are any effects that are typical for the beginning of the year (e.g., people getting bonuses to invest, profit-sharing contributions occurring in the first quarter, people reacting to upcoming taxes, people reacting to past holiday spending), then it is essential to compare periods that also may experience these “seasonal” effects. In addition to seasonal effects, DC plan participant activity may be influenced by cyclical factors (e.g., recent stock market returns). Because some participants may visit their asset allocations at the beginning of the year and not again, it is not possible to translate the year-to-date figures into an estimate of activity for the whole year. For annual activity through 2016, see Holden and Schrass 2017.

3 The recordkeepers typically remove participants who are no longer working for the employer sponsoring the plan. It would not be correct to include such separated, retired, or terminated participants, because they cannot contribute. The goal of the survey is to measure the activity of active DC plan participants.

4 There are two possible types of withdrawals from DC plans: nonhardship and hardship. Generally, participants withdrawing after age 59½ are categorized as nonhardship withdrawals. A participant seeking a hardship withdrawal must demonstrate financial hardship and generally faces a 10 percent penalty on the taxable portion of the withdrawal. If a plan allows loans, participants are generally required to first take a loan before they are permitted to take a hardship withdrawal.

5 Annual rates of account balance reallocation activity observed in the ICI Survey of DC Plan Recordkeepers for 2008–2016 (see Holden and Schrass 2017) are consistent with the behavior observed in earlier years in other data sources. Historically, recordkeepers find that in any given year, DC plan participants generally do not rebalance in their accounts (for references to this research, see note 80 in Holden, Brady, and Hadley 2006; and discussion of changes in asset allocation and note 33 in Holden et al. 2017).

6 See note 2 for an explanation of seasonal effects.

7 The EBRI/ICI 401(k) database update reports loan activity among 401(k) participants in plans that allow loans. At year-end 2015, 87 percent of participants in the database were in plans that offer loans; among those participants, 18 percent had loans outstanding at year-end 2015. This translates to 16 percent of all active 401(k) participants having loans outstanding. The year-end 2015 EBRI/ICI database includes statistical information about 26.1 million 401(k) participants in 101,625 plans, with $1.9 trillion in assets. See Holden et al. 2017.
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