Ms. Elizabeth M. Murphy  
Secretary 
Securities and Exchange Commission  
100 F Street, N.E.  
Washington, D.C. 20549

Mr. David A. Stawick  
Secretary  
Commodity Futures Trading Commission  
Three Lafayette Centre  
1155 21st Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20581

Re: Ownership Limitations and Governance Requirements for Swap and Security-Based Swap Clearing Agencies and Trading Facilities (SEC File No. S7-27-10 and CFTC RIN 3038-AD01)

Dear Ms. Murphy and Mr. Stawick:

The Investment Company Institute1 is writing to provide comments on the proposals by the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission that would mitigate conflicts of interest by imposing governance, voting, and ownership limitations on entities where swaps are cleared and may be traded (collectively “swap entities”).2 Sections 726 and 765 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (“Dodd-Frank Act”) empower the Commissions to adopt rules as necessary to improve the governance of swap entities or to mitigate

---

1 The Investment Company Institute is the national association of U.S. investment companies, including mutual funds, closed-end funds, exchange-traded funds (ETFs), and unit investment trusts (UITs). ICI seeks to encourage adherence to high ethical standards, promote public understanding, and otherwise advance the interests of funds, their shareholders, directors, and advisers. Members of ICI manage total assets of $12.05 trillion and serve over 90 million shareholders.

systemic risk, promote competition, or mitigate conflicts of interest in connection with the interaction between swap dealers and major swap participants, on the one hand, and swap entities on the other.

As participants in the swap markets, funds have a strong interest in ensuring that these markets are highly competitive, transparent, and efficient, and operate in a manner that treats all market participants fairly. To that end, we recommend that the Commissions require swap entities to include investor representatives on their boards of directors. Requiring investor representation in the governance structure of swap entities would minimize conflicts of interest by better balancing the advancement of commercial interests with the fulfillment of self-regulatory responsibilities. A regulatory framework that aids in controlling conflicts of interest at swap entities should, in turn, reduce systemic risk in the swap markets.

I. Investor Representation on Swap Entity Boards

The SEC and CFTC proposals would impose on swap entities substantially similar structural governance requirements with respect to their boards of directors and board committees. We support measures to limit the influence and control that any one set of a swap entity’s members may attempt to exert over a swap entity’s operations.\textsuperscript{3} We believe that such measures are necessary to control conflicts of interest that may exist, including: limiting membership to minimize risk exposure and preserve the swap entity’s profits; limiting the scope of products eligible for clearing, particularly if there is a strong incentive to keep a product traded in the OTC market; and maintaining lower risk management controls to reduce the amount of collateral and liquidity that the swap entity’s members are required to post. Quite simply, a swap entity could put its interests and those of its members ahead of its regulatory responsibilities by failing to take necessary action or appropriately manage risk exposure. Given the importance of the board of directors of a swap entity in monitoring and controlling conflicts of interest, it is imperative that the composition of a swap entity board include investor representation.\textsuperscript{4}

Guaranteeing investor representation on the board would ensure a governance structure that serves investors and satisfies the goals of the Dodd-Frank Act, as stated above. Investor representation would not impede the competitive interests of a swap entity but would help to limit risks to the entity and the markets overall. Investor representation also would level the playing field for swap entities by creating a governance structure wherein swap entities operate under similar restraints on the influence of owner and member self-interests, which would benefit new entities in the market.

\textsuperscript{3} For purposes of this letter, “members” refers to members, participants, and enumerated entities as used by the Commissions in their proposals.

\textsuperscript{4} To benefit from a diverse group of market participants, some equities clearing organizations and stock exchanges already include investors on their boards. For example, DTCC’s Board is made up of 18 directors; 14 are from participants, including international broker-dealers, correspondent and clearing banks, mutual fund companies, and investment banks.
II. Investor Representation on Board Advisory Committees

The Commissions’ proposals include provisions that would allow for industry representation on board advisory committees. The CFTC proposal, for example, specifically includes a requirement that 10 percent of the Risk Management Committee of a swap entity be composed of customers of clearing members who also routinely execute swap contracts and who have experience in using pricing models for such contracts. We strongly support investor representation on board advisory committees. These committees are designed to facilitate meaningful discussion on important issues before the board. Nevertheless, such advisory committee representation should not be a substitute for investor representation on the board itself. This is particularly true in the developing swap markets where, at this time, investors have access to only a handful of swap entities for clearing and trading.

* * * * *

If you have any questions on our comment letter, please feel free to contact me directly at (202) 326-5815, Heather Traeger at (202) 326-5920, or Ari Burstein at (202) 371-5408.

Sincerely,

/s/ Karrie McMillan

Karrie McMillan
General Counsel

cc: The Honorable Mary L. Schapiro, Chairman
    The Honorable Kathleen L. Casey, Commissioner
    The Honorable Elisse B. Walter, Commissioner
    The Honorable Luis A. Aguilar, Commissioner
    The Honorable Troy A. Paredes, Commissioner

    Robert W. Cook, Director
    Division of Trading and Markets
    Securities and Exchange Commission

    The Honorable Gary Gensler, Chairman
    The Honorable Michael V. Dunn, Commissioner
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5 Both the New York Stock Exchange and the Nasdaq Stock Market, for example, have board advisory committees composed of institutional investors, i.e., the NYSE Institutional Traders Advisory Committee and the Nasdaq Quality of Markets Committee.